| | |
|---|---|
| **Title:** | **"Survey to determine the degree of satisfaction with the use of the products: *AETHEION ZC30* anti-aging cream and *TOPICALS 2+* nutritional lotion ".** |
| **Name of the products:** | **Anti-aging cream:** AETHEION ZC30.<br>**Nutritional Lotion**: 2+ TOPICALS NUTRITIONAL LOTION |
| **Venue for the application of the survey:** | 2ª Cerrada de av. del Imán manzana no. 3, lote no. 27, colonia pedregal de la zorra, delegación Coyoacán, Ciudad de México, C. P. 04660 |
| **Principal Investigator:** | **Dr. Susana Tera Ponce, PhD.** |
| **Quality Assurance Manager:** | **Q. F. B. Beatriz Armendáriz Guillén** |
| **Responsible for Statistics:** | **Q. A. Oscar Héctor Mercado Servín** |
| **Sanitary Responsible** | **Dr. Efrén Alejandro Alonso Castro** |
| **Dates of product application** | **06-FEB-20 to 20-FEB-20**<br>**07-FEB-20 to 21-FEB-20**<br>**08-FEB-20 to 22-FEB-20** |
| **Statistical analysis dates:** | **23-FEB-21** |
| | **26-FEB-21** |
| **Date of issuance of the Results Report:** | **02-MAR-21** |

| Name | Signature |
|---|---|
| Q.A. Óscar Héctor Mercado Servín<br>Head of Statistics |  |
| Dr. Susana Tera Ponce<br>Principal Investigator |  |
| Q.F.B. Beatriz Armendáriz Guillén<br>Quality Assurance Manager |  |
| Dr. Efrén Alejandro Alonso Castro<br>Sanitary Responsible |  |

**SUMMARY**

A sensory perception study was conducted to determine the degree of satisfaction after use of the **anti-aging cream** products *AETHEION ZC30* Lot: **250520**, expiration date: **05/2022** and **nutritional lotion** *2+ TOPICALS*, distributed in Mexico by Chem Cream S.A. P.I. de C.V., lot **031219**, expiration date **12/2021**, which are products with anti-aging effect (cream) and cellular regeneration (lotion). **Objective**: To evaluate the quality of the cosmetic properties of the products: 2+ Topical Nutritional Lotion and AETHEION Anti-aging Cream, provided by Chem Cream S.A.P.I. de C.V. by means of a quality and satisfaction survey applied to 20 users of both sexes after a daily application over 14 days. **Methodology:** 20 users of both sexes (10 women and 10 men) were recruited, the treatment was administered for 14 days and the performance of the product was evaluated for 15 days. 19 users completed their participation; user **14** left the study on February 16 (day 11 of application), his photographic evidence was used to obtain his final score. The information obtained came from a closed scale; it was entered into an electronic database (spreadsheet) for processing and analysis. All the information obtained was processed using Excel tools for Microsoft 365 MSO. Statistical tools were used for data processing. First, the measurement instruments were validated and then the data analysis was performed.

**Results**: The results showed that the use of *AETHEION ZC30* anti-aging cream and *2+ TOPICALS* nutritional lotion have beneficial effects on the characteristics of Dehydration, Luminosity, Tone and Firmness. In addition, users expressed favorable comments for the quality characteristics of the products, so that the response to their use is one of satisfaction.

## INTRODUCTION

This report describes how the data collection and statistical analysis of the survey was developed to determine the satisfaction of use of AETHEION ZC30 Anti-Aging Cream and *2+ TOPICALS* Nutritional Lotion, when both products are administered daily to a sample of 20 users for 14 days. A Product Satisfaction Evaluation survey was completed, and the subjects were followed up daily to monitor product performance.

A sampling period of 15 days was carried out, with a lapse of 24 hours between each one of them, obtaining a total of 14 records per subject for all those who concluded their participation. Three evaluation documents were applied: Clinical History, Product Evaluation Survey and Quality Survey, the three instruments consider a closed scale to perform the measurements. A statistical analysis based on the *chi-square test was* applied to contrast the ratings between the first day of the measurement and the day of treatment completion, this contrast, together with the descriptive statistics of the Quality Questionnaire evaluation complemented the conclusion of the subject's satisfaction.

## BACKGROUND

This report details the results obtained from the survey to determine the degree of satisfaction with the use of the products: *AETHEION ZC30* anti-aging cream and *TOPICALS 2+* nutritional lotion.

Three questionnaires were used to evaluate the degree of satisfaction: I. Clinical History, II. Product Evaluation Survey and III. Quality Survey. The first one was filled out by the health professional during the visit to the facilities of the Unidad Clínica Farmacológica Bioemagno S.A. de C.V. The second was recorded with the information directly sent by the user, which was written at the beginning and end of the treatment directly at the Clinical Unit facilities and in the intermediate days by means of a telephone interrogation, and finally the third was recorded directly by the user during the last evaluation visit. The questions relating to skin characteristics, which were the object of measurement, were graded with a closed scale and for the purposes of questionnaire validation will be called variables, while for statistical analysis they will be referred to as characteristics.

Evaluation instruments I and II were first validated, and once their validity was known, the pertinent statistical analysis was performed. Instrument III was not validated since it was only filled out on the last day of evaluation.

The survey items are summarized in the following tables:

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | IDENTIFICATION SHEET | | | | | | |
| | CODE | NAME | AGE | SEX | | | |
| | **INITIAL QUESTIONING** | | | | | | |
| | 1. Do you use any type of product for your face according to your age? | 2. What type of product is it? | 3. For what purpose do you use the product? | 4. Do you feel the product works? | 5. When do you use it? | 6. How long have you been using the product? | |
| | **INITIAL QUESTIONING** | | | | | | |
| USE R / DAY | 1. Do you use any type of product for your face according to your age? | 2. What type of product is it? | 3. For what purpose do you use the product? | 4. Do you feel the product works? | 5. When do you use it? | 6. How long have you been using the product? | 7. Are you willing to try another product? |
| | 8. Do you use any type of body care product according to your age? | 9. What type of product is it? | 10. For what purpose do you use the product? | 11. Do you feel that the product works? | 12. When do you use it? | 13. How long have you been using the product? | 14. Are you willing to try another product? |
| | **PATHOLOGICAL PERSONAL HISTORY** | | | | | | |
| | 15. Do you have any allergies? | 16. Describe if you suffer from any disease | 16A. Arterial Hypertension | 16B. Diabetes Mellitus | 16C. Overweight | 16D. Obesity | 16E. Rheumatoid Arthritis |
| | 16F. Systemic Lupus Erythematosus | 16G. Psoriasis | 16H. COPD | 16I. ASMA | 16J. Cancer | 16K. Hepatopathy | 16L. Thyroid Disease |
| | 16M. Heart Disease | 16N. Digestive Disease | 16O. Skin Disease | 16P. Another | 17. COVID19 infection | 18. During your infection | |
| | **DRUGS USED** | | | | | | |
| | 19. Are you currently taking any medications | 20. Indicate | 20A. Analgesics | 20B. Anti-inflammatories | 20C. Antidiabetics | | |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 20.D Antihypertensives | 20E. Dermatological | 20F. Contraceptives | 20.G Cosmetology | 20H. Hormonal | 20I. Other | |
| **PHYSICAL EXAMINATION** | | | | | | |
| 21A. Weight | 21B. Height | 21C. BMI | 21.D Waist | 21E. Hip | 21F. ICC | |
| 21G. ICA | 21H. Systolic pressure | 21I. Diastolic pressure | 21J. Heart rate | 21K. Breathing frequency | 21L. Temperature | |
| **SKIN EVALUATION. FACE AND NECK** | | | | | | |
| 22. Skin Type | 23. Features | 23A. Wrinkles | 23B. Deep Grooves | 23C. Hyperpigmentation | 23D. Density Decrease | 23E. Dehydration |
| 23F. Brightness | 23G. Flaccidity | 23H. Tone | 23I. Firmness | 23J. Pallor | 23K. Yellowish coloration | 23L. Stains |
| 23M. Open Pores | 23N. Sebaceous Hyperplasia | 23O. Solar Lentils | 23P. Telangiectasias | 23Q. Fibrosis | 23R. Another | 23S. Face and Neck |
| **SKIN ASSESSMENT. BODY** | | | | | | |
| 24. Skin Type | 25A. Wrinkles | 25B. Cellulite | 25C. Deep Grooves | 25D. Hyperpigmentation | 25E. Density Decrease | 25F. Dehydration |
| 25G. Brightness | 25H. Flaccidity | 25I. Tone | 25J. Firmness | 25K. Pallor | 25L. Yellowish coloration | 25M. Stains |
| 25N. Open Pores | 25O. Sebaceous Hyperplasia | 25P. Solar Lentils | 25Q. Telangiectasias | 25R. Fibrosis | 25S. Another | |
| **SKIN EVALUATION. HANDS** | | | | | | |
| 26. Skin Type | 27A. Wrinkles | 27B. Deep Grooves | 27C. Hyperpigmentation | 27D. Density Decrease | 27E. Dehydration | 27F. Brightness |
| 27G. Flaccidity | 27H. Tone | 27I. Firmness | 27J. Pallor | 27. Yellowish coloration | 27L. Stains | |
| 27M. Open Pores | 27N. Sebaceous Hyperplasia | 27O. Solar Lentils | 27P. Telangiectasias | 27Q. Fibrosis | 27R. Another | |
| **REMARKS** | | | | | | |
| Remarks | | | | | | |

**Table 1. Medical history.**

| AETHEION ZC30 ANTI-AGING CREAM | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Wrinkles** | Deep grooves | Hyperpigmentation | Density decrease | Dehydration |
| **Brightness** | Flaccidity | Tone | Firmness | Pallor |
| **Yellowish coloration** | Stains | Open pores | Black spots | Acne |
| **Sebaceous hyperplasia** | Solar lentils | Telangiectasias | Fibrosis | |
| 2+ TOPICALS NUTRITIONAL LOTION | | | | |
| **Wrinkles** | Deep grooves | Hyperpigmentation | Density decrease | Dehydration |
| **Brightness** | Flaccidity | Tone | Firmness | Pallor |
| **Yellowish coloration** | Stains | Open pores | Black spots | Acne |
| **Sebaceous hyperplasia** | Solar lentigines (skin spots) | Telangiectasias (small, dilated blood vessels) | Fibrosis (excess tissue) | |

**Table No. 2 Product Satisfaction Evaluation Response Variables.**

| AETHEION ZC30 ANTI-AGING CREAM | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **The information on the indications for use was** | The measured amount with the spoon was | The amount of anti-aging cream for the 24 days was as follows | The duration of the one-day effect at the application site was |
| **The color of the anti-ageing cream seemed to him to be** | The consistency of the anti-aging cream was | The smell of the anti-ageing cream was | The texture of the anti-aging cream was |
| **The absorption of the anti-aging cream was** | The duration of the odor on the skin was | Soft skin sensation after using the anti-ageing cream | Feeling of dry skin after using anti-ageing cream |
| 2+ TOPICALS NUTRITIONAL LOTION | | | |
| **The information on the indications for use was** | The use of the applicator resulted in | The amount of solution dispensed by the atomizer was | The amount of solution contained in the bottle for the 14 days was as follows | The duration of the one-day effect at the site of application of the nutritional lotion was |
| **He found the color of the nutritional lotion to be** | The consistency of the nutritional lotion was | The smell of the nutritional lotion was | The texture of the nutritional lotion was | |
| **Absorption of nutritional lotion** | The duration of the odor on the skin was | Soft feeling on the skin after using the nutritional lotion | Feeling of dry skin after using the nutritional lotion | |

**Table No. 3 Quality Survey Response Variables.**

## PRODUCTS TESTED

| Product | Anti-aging cream | Nutritional lotion |
|---|---|---|
| Name | AETHEION ZC30 | 2+ TOPICALS |
| Lot | 250520 | 031219 |
| Expiration | 05/2022 | 12/2021 |

**Table No. 4 Information on the products evaluated.**

## METHODOLOGY

Twenty users were selected to participate in the study; the participants underwent a medical inspection during their visit to the clinical unit. For the initial evaluation, they were examined by a physician to fill out their medical history; they were introduced to the products under study and training was provided to the users for the correct application of the product, and any questions they may have about the study and the articles were answered.

The user study was conducted between February 6 and 22, 2021.
Two documents were considered on the first day:

1. **Clinical history**: demographic data and the results of a questionnaire related to the habits of use of skin care products frequently used by the subjects, as well as the results of the physical examination and the skin evaluation section were captured. The skin evaluation section was also filled out on the last day of evaluation, when the user was evaluated again in the unit, so that each user generated two records: initial and final.
2. **Product Evaluation Survey**, which was filled out between February 06 and 22, not including the survey for Sunday, February 07 and Sunday, February 14, so that each subject generated 13 records. The results of the telephone survey were captured directly in an Excel database for Microsoft 365 MSO, which included the number of subjects, the survey completed and the rating of the response variable for each subject.

A document was considered on the last day.

3. **Quality Survey.** The document included the user's data: name, age, sex and telephone number, as well as information regarding the product's quality characteristics. This survey was completed only once at the end of the study.

With the results of the three documents, the database was formed in an Excel document for Microsoft 365 MSO, the database was verified by the Quality Assurance area before processing the recorded data.

**VALIDATION OF EVALUATION INSTRUMENTS**

The census of responses for each question per day was obtained. Comparison of the physical examination and skin assessment results from the clinical history was performed between day 1 and day 15. The overall Product Assessment Survey results were compared between day 1 and day15. To perform the above comparisons, a $\chi 2$ test with a significance level of 0.05% was used.

Descriptive statistics were performed to describe the results of the Product Quality Survey.

Radial plots are made to compare the responses per question between day 1 and day 15 and to support the analysis of the results. Radial plots are schemes that group the response of several variables in the same graph, thus, in the same space, the behavior of the measurements in the different times in which the measurements were considered can be appreciated.

The validation was carried out to determine whether the survey items could detect differences between the set of variables used; to this end, the following hypothesis was proposed:

**WORKING HYPOTHESIS**

The satisfaction response for the use of the products AETHEION ZC30 **anti-aging cream** and 2+ TOPICALS **nutritional lotion**, distributed in Mexico by Chem Cream S.A.P.I. de C.V. measured as sensory perception through the Clinical History and a Product Satisfaction Evaluation survey, is dependent on the evaluation time of 15 days.

**STATISTICAL HYPOTHESIS**

**Null Hypothesis: H0**. Satisfaction with the use of the products AETHEION ZC30 **anti-aging cream** and 2+ TOPICALS **nutritional lotion**, distributed in Mexico by Chem Cream S.A.P.I. de C.V. IS INDEPENDENT of the day of evaluation.

**Alternate Hypothesis: H1**. Satisfaction with the use of the products AETHEION ZC30 **anti-aging cream** and 2+ TOPICALS **nutritional lotion**, Distributed in Mexico by Chem Cream S.A.P.I. de C.V. IS DEPENDENT on the day of evaluation.

With the set of variables measured, the results of the initial evaluation were compared with those of the final evaluation by means of a χ2 analysis.

The statistician has the form:

$$\chi 2 = \sum \frac{(O_{ij} - \hat{E}_{ij})^2}{\hat{E}_{ij}}$$

Where:

Oij= Actual value obtained per appraisal question.

Êij= Estimated expected value per evaluation question, where:

$$\hat{E}_{ij} = n\left(\frac{r_i}{n}\right)\left(\frac{r_j}{n}\right) = \frac{r_i r_j}{n}$$

Where:

ri= Total for the sum of the dataset per day of evaluation.

rj= Total for the sum of the data set per evaluation question.

The calculated statistic is compared against the statistic of the distribution (tables), with a significance level of 0.05 the degrees of freedom associated with the estimate gl= (r-1)(c-1), where

r= Number of evaluation days = initial estimation + final estimation = 2

c= Number of evaluation questions (Skin evaluation on face and neck= 17 questions).

The following table shows the results of the statistical test.

**Facial Skin Evaluation.**

From the Medical History document, the evaluation information obtained for the anti-aging cream AETHEION ZC30 in the Face and Neck Skin Evaluation is compared, the results are shown below.

| | Wrinkles | Deep Grooves | Hyperpigmentation | Density Decrease | Dehydration | Brightness |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 144 | 138 | 97 | 94 | 96 | 76 |
| **Media** | **7** | **7** | **5** | **5** | **5** | **4** |
| **Minimum** | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| **Maximum** | 9 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 94 | 89 | 44 | 59 | 47 | 181 |
| **Media** | **5** | **4** | **2** | **3** | **2** | **9** |
| **Minimum** | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |

| | Wrinkles | Deep Grooves | Hyperpigmentation | Density Decrease | Dehydration | Brightness |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Maximum** | 9 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 10 |
| | **Flaccidity** | **Tone** | **Firmness** | **Pallor** | **Yellowish coloration** | **Stains** |
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 106 | 73 | 76 | 38 | 15 | 111 |
| **Media** | **5** | **4** | **4** | **2** | **1** | **6** |
| **Minimum** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| **Maximum** | 9 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 67 | 142 | 133 | 26 | 5 | 48 |
| **Media** | **3** | **7** | **7** | **1** | **0** | **2** |
| **Minimum** | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Maximum** | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 2 | 6 |
| | **Open Pores** | **Sebaceous Hyperplasia** | **Solar Lentils** | **Telangiectasias** | **Fibrosis** | |
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 33 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 1 | |
| **Media** | **2** | **0** | **1** | **0** | **0** | |
| **Minimum** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| **Maximum** | 7 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 1 | |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 12 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 0 | |
| **Media** | **1** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** | |
| **Minimum** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| **Maximum** | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | |

**Table No. 5 Descriptive Statistics of the Facial Skin Evaluation.**

| Statistical comparison |
|---|
| **gl= 16** |
| **$\chi 2 calculated = 189.93$** |
| **$\chi 2 critical = 5.1422$** |

**Table No. 6 Statistical Comparison of Facial Skin Assessment.**

Since χ2calculated > χ2critical there is independence in the responses of the face skin assessment with respect to each day, so the responses on day 15 are different from the responses on day 1.

The following radial graph shows the direction and magnitude of the responses to the variables of the Facial Skin Assessment questionnaire in the Clinical History (17 variables, which are shown in Table No. 4). The variables plotted in the first graph are: wrinkles, deep furrows, hyperpigmentation, decreased density, dehydration, luminosity, flaccidity, tone, firmness. The variables plotted in the first graph are: wrinkles, deep furrows, hyperpigmentation, density decrease, dehydration, luminosity, flaccidity, tone, firmness; the second graph includes: pallor, yellowish coloration, spots, open pores, sebaceous hyperplasia, solar lentigines, telangiectasias and fibrosis. Two graphs are made so that all the information is clear. In the polygon form, each vertex represents one of the variables measured, from the center to the far end the

measurement scale is marked, in the first graph it extends up to 10 and in the second up to 6, according to the mean that was recorded; at the end of the vertex the name of the variable that is being represented is indicated. Each graph has two measurements, corresponding to day 1 and day 15, which are marked with two different colors to differentiate them; the data label indicates the correspondence to the day considered, and the pointers indicate the value of the mean for the variable on the day of measurement, so that in the same vertex the measurement of both days can be appreciated. Contiguous pointers of the same day are joined with a dotted line of the same color as the pointer, which is only a visual aid to appreciate the behavior of the responses between days.

**FACIAL SKIN EVALUATION DAY 1 VS. DAY 15**



**FACIAL SKIN EVALUATION DAY 1 VS. DAY 15**




**Table No. 7 Radial Plots of Day 1 vs. Day 15 Facial Skin Assessment.**

**Body Skin Evaluation.**

The following comparison is the Clinical History information obtained for the 2+ TOPICALS nutritional lotion in the Body Skin Assessment, the results of the descriptive statistics are shown in the next table.

| | Wrinkles | Cellulite | Deep Grooves | Hyperpigmentation | Density Decrease | Dehydration |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 111 | 0 | 46 | 117 | 104 | 115 |
| **Media** | **6** | **0** | **2** | **6** | **5** | **6** |
| **Minimum** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| **Maximum** | 10 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 64 | 0 | 31 | 55 | 44 | 44 |
| **Media** | **3** | **0** | **2** | **3** | **2** | **2** |
| **Minimum** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Maximum** | 7 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 8 |
| | **Brightness** | **Flaccidity** | **Tone** | **Firmness** | **Pallor** | **Yellowish coloration** |
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 58 | 113 | 61 | 61 | 47 | 10 |
| **Media** | **3** | **6** | **3** | **3** | **2** | **1** |

| | Wrinkles | Cellulite | Deep Grooves | Hyperpigmentation | Density Decrease | Dehydration |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Minimum** | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| **Maximum** | 7 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 3 |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 174 | 62 | 155 | 155 | 24 | 1 |
| **Media** | **9** | **3** | **8** | **8** | **1** | **0** |
| **Minimum** | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| **Maximum** | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 1 |
| | **Stains** | **Open Pores** | **Sebaceous Hyperplasia** | **Solar Lentils** | **Telangiectasias** | **Fibrosis** |
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 125 | 33 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 0 |
| **Media** | **6** | **2** | **0** | **1** | **0** | **0** |
| **Minimum** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Maximum** | 9 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 60 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 1 |
| **Media** | **3** | **1** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** |
| **Minimum** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Maximum** | 10 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 1 |

**Table No. 8 Descriptive Statistics of the Body Skin Assessment.**

The following table shows the result of the statistical test for this variable.

| Statistical comparison |
|---|
| **gl= 17** |
| **$\chi 2 calculated = 292.88$** |
| **$\chi 2 critical = 5.6972$** |

**Table No. 9 Statistical Comparison of Body Skin Assessment.**

Since χ2calculated > χ2critical there is independence in the responses of the on-body skin assessment with respect to each day, so the responses on day 15 are different from the responses on day 1.

The following radial graph shows the sense and magnitude of the answers to the questions of the Skin in Body Assessment questionnaire in the Clinical History (18 variables, which are those shown in Table No. 7). The variables plotted in the first graph are: wrinkles, cellulite, deep furrows, hyperpigmentation, decreased density, dehydration, luminosity, flaccidity, and tone; the second graph includes: firmness, pallor, yellowish coloration, spots, open pores, sebaceous hyperplasia, solar lentigines, telangiectasias and fibrosis. Two graphs are made so that all the information is clear. In the polygon form, each vertex represents one of the measured variables; from the center to the far end the measurement scale is indicated, in the first graph it extends to 9 and in the second to 8, depending on the average measurement recorded; at the end of the vertex the name of the variable being represented is indicated. Each graph has two measurements, corresponding to day 1 and day 15, which are marked with two different colors to differentiate them; the data label indicates

the correspondence to the day considered, and the pointers indicate the value of the mean for the variable on the day of measurement, so that two measurements can be seen at a vertex. Contiguous pointers are joined with a dotted line of the same color as the pointer, which is only a visual aid to appreciate the behavior of the responses between days.

**EVALUATION OF SKIN ON BODY DAY 1 COMPARED TO DAY 15**



**EVALUATION OF SKIN ON BODY DAY 1 COMPARED TO DAY 15**




**Table No. 10 Radial Plots of Day 1 vs. Day 15 Body Skin Assessment.**

**Hand Skin Evaluation.**

From the Medical History document, the evaluation information obtained for the 2+ TOPICALS NUTRITIONAL LOTION in the Skin on Hands Evaluation is compared, the results are shown below.

| | Wrinkles | Deep Grooves | Hyperpigmentation | Density Decrease | Dehydration | Brightness |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 83 | 48 | 81 | 87 | 104 | 56 |
| **Media** | **4** | **2** | **4** | **4** | **5** | **3** |
| **Minimum** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| **Maximum** | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 43 | 29 | 31 | 54 | 44 | 170 |
| **Media** | **2** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **2** | **9** |
| **Minimum** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Maximum** | 7 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | **Flaccidity** | **Tone** | **Firmness** | **Pallor** | **Yellowish coloration** | **Stains** |
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 94 | 57 | 58 | 33 | 8 | 97 |
| **Media** | **5** | **3** | **3** | **2** | **0** | **5** |
| **Minimum** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Wrinkles | Deep Grooves | Hyperpigmentation | Density Decrease | Dehydration | Brightness |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Maximum** | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 10 |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 45 | 150 | 151 | 10 | 1 | 44 |
| **Media** | **2** | **8** | **8** | **1** | **0** | **2** |
| **Minimum** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Maximum** | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 9 |
| | **Open Pores** | **Sebaceous Hyperplasia** | **Solar Lentils** | **Telangiectasias** | **Fibrosis** | |
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 4 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 0 | |
| **Media** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** | |
| **Minimum** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| **Maximum** | 2 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 0 | |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| **Media** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** | |
| **Minimum** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| **Maximum** | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |

**Table No. 11 Descriptive Statistics of Hand Skin Evaluation**

The following table presents the result of the statistical test for the evaluation of hand skin.

| Statistical comparison |
|---|
| **gl= 16** |
| **$\chi 2 calculated = 283.20$** |
| **$\chi 2 critical = 5.1422$** |

**Table No. 12 Statistical Comparison of Hand Skin Assessment.**

Given that χ2calculated > χ2critical there is independence in the hand skin assessment responses with respect to each day, making the responses on day 15 different from the responses on day 1.

The following radial graph shows the sense and magnitude of the answers to the questions of the Skin Assessment in Hands questionnaire in the Clinical History (17 variables, which are shown in Table No. 13). The variables plotted in the first graph are: wrinkles, deep furrows, hyperpigmentation, decreased density, dehydration, luminosity, flaccidity, and tone. The second graph includes: firmness, pallor, yellowish coloration, spots, open pores, sebaceous hyperplasia, solar lentigines, telangiectasias and fibrosis. Two graphs are made so that all the information is clear. In the polygon form, each vertex represents one of the variables measured; from the center to the far end the measurement scale is indicated, in both graphs it extends up to 9, according to the maximum mean recorded; at the end of the vertex the name of the variable being represented is indicated. Each graph has two measurements, corresponding to day 1 and day 15, which are indicated with two different colors to differentiate them; the data label indicates the correspondence to the day considered, and the pointers indicate the value of the mean for the variable on the day of measurement, so that two measurements can be observed at

a vertex. Contiguous pointers are joined with a dotted line of the same color as the pointer, which is only a visual aid to appreciate the behavior of the responses between days.

**EVALUATION OF SKIN ON HANDS DAY 1 VS. DAY 15**



**EVALUATION OF SKIN ON HANDS DAY 1 VS. DAY 15**




**Table No. 13 Radial Plots of Hand Skin Assessment Day 1 vs Day 15.**

**Analysis of the Product Satisfaction Evaluation Survey.**

The following is the analysis of the Product Satisfaction Evaluation survey for AETHEION ZC30 ANTI-AGEING CREAM and 2+ TOPICALS NUTRITIONAL LOTION, which is the result of user feedback.

The results of AETHEION ZC30 ANTI-AGEING CREAM are presented first.

| | Wrinkles | Deep Grooves | Hyperpigmentation | Density Decrease | Dehydration | Brightness |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 102 | 101 | 105 | 101 | 103 | 113 |
| **Media** | **5** | **5** | **5** | **5** | **5** | **6** |
| **Minimum** | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| **Maximum** | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 89 | 92 | 84 | 94 | 93 | 113 |
| **Media** | **4** | **5** | **4** | **5** | **5** | **6** |
| **Minimum** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **Maximum** | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 |
| | **Flacides** | **Tone** | **Firmness** | **Pallor** | **Yellowish coloration** | **Stains** |

| | Wrinkles | Deep Grooves | Hyperpigmentation | Density Decrease | Dehydration | Brightness |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 109 | 94 | 94 | 81 | 71 | 98 |
| **Media** | **5** | **5** | **5** | **4** | **4** | **5** |
| **Minimum** | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **Maximum** | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 93 | 104 | 107 | 69 | 63 | 98 |
| **Media** | **5** | **5** | **5** | **4** | **3** | **5** |
| **Minimum** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **Maximum** | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 |

| | Open Pores | Black Dots | Acne | Sebaceous Hyperplasia | Solar Lentils | Telangiectasias | Fibrosis |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 77 | 71 | 46 | 63 | 69 | 47 | 42 |
| **Media** | **4** | **4** | **2** | **3** | **3** | **2** | **2** |
| **Minimum** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **Maximum** | 10 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 6 |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 84 | 74 | 76 | 68 | 65 | 57 | 73 |
| **Media** | **4** | **4** | **4** | **4** | **3** | **3** | **4** |
| **Minimum** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **Maximum** | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

**Table No. 14 Descriptive Statistics of the Product Satisfaction Evaluation Survey for AETHEION ZC30 ANTI-AGEING CREAM.**

The following table presents the result of the statistical test for the AETHEION ZC30 ANTI-AGEING CREAM Product Satisfaction Evaluation Survey.

| Statistical comparison |
|---|
| **gl= 18** |
| **$\chi 2 calculated = 25.80$** |
| **$\chi 2 critical = 6.26$** |

**Table No. 15 Statistical Comparison of the Product Satisfaction Evaluation Survey for AETHEION ZC30 ANTI-AGEING CREAM.**

Since χ2calculated > χ2critical there is independence in the responses of the AETHEION ZC30 ANTI-AGEING CREAM Product Satisfaction Evaluation with respect to the day of evaluation, with the result that the responses on day 15 are different from the responses on day 1.

The next radial graph shows the direction and magnitude of the answers to the questions of the Product Satisfaction Evaluation questionnaire for AETHEION ZC30 ANTI-AGEING CREAM (19 variables, which are those shown in Table No. 16). The variables plotted in the first graph are: wrinkles, deep furrows, hyperpigmentation, density decrease, dehydration, luminosity, flaccidity, tone and firmness, tone, and firmness. The second graph includes: pallor, yellowing, spots, open pores, acne, sebaceous hyperplasia, solar lentigines, telangiectasias and fibrosis. The variables are divided into two graphs

so that all the information is clear. In the polygon form, each vertex represents one of the measured variables; from the center to the far end the measurement scale is indicated, in the first graph it goes up to 6 and in the second up to 5, according to the maximum mean recorded; at the end of the vertex the name of the variable being represented is indicated. Each graph has two measurements, corresponding to day 1 and day 15, which are indicated with two different colors to differentiate them; the data label indicates the correspondence to the day considered, and the pointers indicate the value of the mean for the variable on the day of measurement, so that two measurements can be observed at a vertex. Contiguous pointers are joined with a dotted line of the same color as the pointer, which is only a visual aid to appreciate the behavior of the responses between days.

**PRODUCT SATISFACTION RATING OF AETHEION ZC30 ANTI-AGING CREAM DAY 1 VS. DAY 15**



**PRODUCT SATISFACTION RATING OF AETHEION ZC30 ANTI-AGING CREAM DAY 1 VS. DAY 15**




**Table No. 16 Radial Plots of Product Satisfaction Evaluation for AETHEION ZC30 ANTI-AGEING CREAM Day 1 vs Day 15.**

Subsequently, the Product Satisfaction Evaluation survey for 2+ TOPICALS NUTRITIONAL LOTION was analyzed, which is also the result of user feedback.

| | Wrinkles | Deep Grooves | Hyperpigmentation | Density Decrease | Dehydration | Brightness |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 104 | 92 | 89 | 98 | 100 | 105 |
| **Media** | **5** | **5** | **4** | **5** | **5** | **5** |
| **Minimum** | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| **Maximum** | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 84 | 93 | 86 | 90 | 90 | 116 |
| **Media** | **4** | **5** | **5** | **5** | **5** | **6** |
| **Minimum** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **Maximum** | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 |

| | Wrinkles | Deep Grooves | Hyperpigmentation | Density Decrease | Dehydration | Brightness |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Flacides | Tone | Firmness | Pallor | Yellowish coloration | Stains |
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 117 | 91 | 102 | 92 | 71 | 93 |
| **Media** | **6** | **5** | **5** | **5** | **4** | **5** |
| **Minimum** | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **Maximum** | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 100 | 97 | 105 | 84 | 67 | 99 |
| **Media** | **5** | **5** | **5** | **4** | **3** | **5** |
| **Minimum** | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **Maximum** | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 10 |

| | Open Pores | Black Dots | Acne | Sebaceous Hyperplasia | Solar Lentils | Telangiectasias | Fibrosis |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Initial Evaluation** | | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 74 | 67 | 52 | 53 | 65 | 41 | 55 |
| **Media** | **4** | **3** | **3** | **3** | **3** | **2** | **3** |
| **Minimum** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **Maximum** | 10 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 7 |
| **Final Evaluation** | | | | | | | |
| **Sum** | 79 | 74 | 68 | 59 | 68 | 59 | 73 |
| **Media** | **4** | **4** | **4** | **3** | **4** | **3** | **4** |
| **Minimum** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Maximum** | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 |

**Table No. 17 Descriptive Statistics of the Product Satisfaction Evaluation Survey for 2+ TOPICALS NUTRITIONAL LOTION.**

The following table shows the statistical test result for the 2+ TOPICALS NUTRITIONAL LOTION Product Satisfaction Evaluation Survey.

| Statistical comparison |
|---|
| **gl= 18** |
| **$\chi 2 calculated = 14.35$** |
| **$\chi 2 critical = 6.26$** |

**Table No. 18 Statistical Comparison of Product Satisfaction Evaluation Survey for 2+ TOPICALS NUTRITIONAL LOTION.**

Since χ2calculated > χ2critical there is independence in the 2+ TOPICALS NUTRITIONAL LOTION Product Satisfaction Evaluation assessment responses with respect to the day of evaluation, with the result that the responses on day 15 are different from the responses on day 1.

The following radial graph shows the direction and magnitude of the answers to the questions of the Product Satisfaction Evaluation questionnaire for 2+ TOPICALS NUTRITIONAL LOTION (19 variables, which are shown in Table

No. 20). The variables plotted in the first graph are: wrinkles, deep furrows, hyperpigmentation, density decrease, dehydration, luminosity, flaccidity, tone and firmness, tone, and firmness. The second graph includes: pallor, yellowing, spots, open pores, acne, sebaceous hyperplasia, solar lentigines, telangiectasias and fibrosis. The variables are divided into two graphs so that all the information is clear. In the polygon form, each vertex represents one of the measured variables; from the center to the far end the measurement scale is indicated, in the first graph it goes up to 6 and in the second up to 5, depending on the mean recorded; at the end of the vertex the name of the variable being represented is indicated. Each graph has two measurements, corresponding to day 1 and day 15, which are indicated with two different colors to differentiate them; the data label indicates the correspondence to the day considered, and the pointers indicate the value of the mean for the variable on the day of measurement, so that two measurements can be observed at a vertex. Contiguous pointers are joined with a dotted line of the same color as the pointer, which is only a visual aid to appreciate the behavior of the responses between days.

**PRODUCT SATISFACTION EVALUATION OF 2+ TOPICALS NUTRITIONAL LOTION DAY 1 VS. DAY 15**



**PRODUCT SATISFACTION EVALUATION OF 2+ TOPICALS NUTRITIONAL LOTION DAY 1 VS. DAY 15**




**Table No. 19 Radial Plots of Satisfaction Evaluation for 2+ TOPICALS nutritional lotion Day 1 vs Day 15.**

With the results of the final evaluation in Tables 13 and 16, the survey contains the same sequence of questions, the results between AETHEION ZC30 anti-aging cream and TOPICALS 2+ nutritional lotion are compared; the results of the statistical test are reported below.

| Statistical comparison |
|---|
| gl= 18 |
| $\chi 2 calculated = 3.79$ |
| $\chi 2 critical = 6.26$ |

**Table No. 20 Statistical Comparison of Product Satisfaction Evaluation Survey for AETHEION ZC30 anti-aging cream and TOPICALS 2+ nutritional lotion on day 15.**

Since χ2calculated is less than χ2critical, there is no independence in the Product Satisfaction Evaluation assessment responses between AETHEION ZC30 anti-aging cream and TOPICALS 2+ nutritional lotion with respect to the product, with the result that the responses on day 15 are similar for both products.

**CONCLUSION OF THE VALIDATION OF THE EVALUATION INSTRUMENTS**

The validation results indicate that in the comparison of the set of variables for both measuring instruments, significant differences are detected using the different items and scoring them with a closed scale. Applying the same comparison procedure between products (Table 15), the closeness of the results implied that no significant difference was detected between them; therefore, it is possible to conclude that the measurement instrument has sufficient sensitivity to detect the differences and is adequate for the purposes of the survey.

**DESCRIPTION OF THE STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The statistical analysis was performed using Excel tools for Microsoft 365 MSO, and the sections used are described below:

**A. Descriptive Statistics**

Statistical analysis (descriptive) of all data obtained after measuring the study variables was performed.

**Demographic Variables**

Demographic variables: age, weight, height, and body mass index (BMI) were measured at study entry and recorded in the medical history document.

**Pathological History**

The Pathological History was referred by the users in the initial evaluation and was recorded in the Medical History document.

**B. Frequency Analysis**

A per-subject frequency analysis was performed for each of the measured characteristics, which are those described in Table 1 of the Clinical History document, for the face, body, and hand skin evaluations.

## RESULTS

### Descriptive Statistics of Demographic Variables.

The results of the descriptive statistical analysis of the demographic variables of the patients who completed the study are shown below.

| User | Sex | Age (years) | Weight (Kg) | Size (m) | BMI | Waist (cm) | Hip (cm) | Systolic Pressure (mmHg) | Diastolic Pressure (mmHg) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **1** | F | 74 | 60.0 | 1.51 | 26.3 | 88 | 97 | 150 | 70 |
| **2** | F | 48 | 89.0 | 1.78 | 28.1 | 105 | 106 | 130 | 90 |
| **3** | F | 75 | 44.0 | 1.44 | 21.2 | 84 | 94 | 140 | 70 |
| **4** | M | 79 | 59.0 | 1.56 | 24.2 | 88 | 89 | 170 | 80 |
| **5** | M | 47 | 67.0 | 1.65 | 24.6 | 87 | 100 | 120 | 80 |
| **6** | M | 63 | 55.7 | 1.68 | 19.5 | 75 | 84 | 140 | 80 |
| **7** | F | 55 | 76.0 | 1.63 | 28.6 | 96 | 97 | 120 | 80 |
| **8** | F | 53 | 70.0 | 1.58 | 28.0 | 91 | 100 | 140 | 80 |
| **9** | F | 55 | 77.0 | 1.71 | 26.3 | 100 | 98 | 120 | 80 |
| **10** | F | 50 | 88.0 | 1.59 | 34.8 | 106 | 115 | 130 | 80 |
| **11** | M | 55 | 96.0 | 1.84 | 28.4 | 101 | 100 | 110 | 70 |
| **12** | M | 59 | 59.0 | 1.58 | 23.6 | 84 | 95 | 140 | 80 |
| **13** | F | 61 | 66.0 | 1.54 | 27.8 | 97 | 105 | 140 | 80 |
| **14** | M | 47 | 79.0 | 1.58 | 31.6 | 106 | 113 | 140 | 90 |
| **15** | F | 49 | 59.0 | 1.55 | 24.6 | 80 | 95 | 120 | 70 |
| **16** | M | 53 | 95.0 | 1.71 | 32.5 | 100 | 98 | 100 | 70 |
| **17** | M | 54 | 58 | 1.49 | 26.1 | 85 | 92 | 136 | 73 |
| **18** | M | 63 | 68 | 1.7 | 23.5 | 90 | 102 | 124 | 70 |
| **19** | F | 47 | 96 | 1.77 | 30.6 | 108 | 109 | 130 | 90 |
| **20** | M | 70 | 64 | 1.66 | 23.2 | 72 | 74 | 132 | 78 |
| **Media** | | **57.85** | **71.29** | **1.63** | **26.68** | **92.15** | **98.15** | **132** | **78** |
| **Standard Deviation** | | **9.9751** | **15.1266** | **0.1042** | **3.8372** | **10.6092** | **9.4606** | **15.0871** | **6.7938** |
| **Minimum** | | **47** | **44** | **1.44** | **19.5** | **72** | **74** | **100** | **70** |
| **Median** | | **55** | **67.5** | **1.61** | **26.3** | **90.5** | **98** | **131** | **80** |
| **Maximum** | | **79** | **96** | **1.84** | **34.8** | **108** | **115** | **170** | **90** |
| **CV%** | | **17.2430** | **21.2199** | **6.4006** | **14.3850** | **11.5130** | **9.6389** | **11.4644** | **8.7044** |

**Table No. 21 Demographic data of the patients who completed the study.**

**Descriptive statistics of pathologic antecedents.**

The participants in the study had 31 pathological personal histories in 16 subjects, which are shown with the following table and graph.

| Pathological History | Number of Affected Users |
|---|---|
| Arterial Hypertension | 6 |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 3 |
| Overweight | 6 |
| Obesity | 2 |
| Thyroid Disease | 2 |
| Heart Disease | 3 |
| Digestive Disease | 1 |
| COVID19 infection | 4 |
| Another | 4 |

**Table No. 22 Incidence of Pathological History in the users of the Study.**

The graph indicates the proportions of pathological antecedents present in the users of the products; the largest partitions correspond to proportions of older users with that specific pathology.




**Graph No. 1 Personal pathological history in the study participants.**

**Skin Type Analysis**

In the initial and final skin type evaluations, the skin type was classified for each evaluated area, the results are shown in the following graph.

**Classification of Skin Types by Evaluation Time**

| | Skin Type | Facial Skin Evaluation | | Body Skin Evaluation | | Hand Skin Assessment | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Initial | Final | Initial | Final | Initial | Final |
| Frequency | SECA | 5 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 3 |
| | MIXED | 12 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 11 | 1 |
| | GRASA | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| | NORMAL | 0 | 14 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 15 |
| % | SECA | 25 | 20 | 30 | 15 | 30 | 15 |
| | MIXED | 60 | 5 | 55 | 5 | 55 | 5 |
| | GRASA | 15 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| | NORMAL | 0 | 70 | 5 | 75 | 5 | 75 |

**Table No. 23 Classification of Skin Types.**

**Frequency Analysis.**

The following tables present the results of the characteristics measured in the evaluation of face, body and hands by volunteer, both the initial evaluation and the final evaluation; the difference between the measurements considered is also indicated.

**Clinical History. Facial Skin Evaluation. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User.**

| User | Wrinkles | | | Deep Grooves | | | Hyperpigmentation | | | Density Decrease | | | Dehydration | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff |
| **1** | 8 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| **2** | 7 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| **3** | 9 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| **4** | 8 | 9 | -1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| **5** | 6 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| **6** | 7 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| **7** | 7 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| **8** | 7 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| **9** | 8 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| **10** | 9 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| **11** | 7 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 10 | -9 |
| **12** | 7 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| **13** | 8 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| **14** | 7 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| **15** | 7 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| **16** | 8 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | -3 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| **17** | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| **18** | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | -4 |
| **19** | 7 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 7 | -1 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| **20** | 8 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 5 |

**Initial= Initial End= Final Difference= Difference**

**Table No. 23 Medical History Document. Summary of Skin Evaluation on Face I.**

**Clinical History. Facial Skin Evaluation. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User.**

| User | Brightness | | | Flaccidity | | | Tone | | | Firmness | | | Pallor | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff |
| **1** | 1 | 8 | -7 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 4 | -3 | 1 | 4 | -3 | 3 | 8 | -5 |
| **2** | 1 | 8 | -7 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | -1 | 5 | 6 | -1 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| **3** | 9 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 7 | -6 | 1 | 8 | -7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| **4** | 5 | 10 | -5 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 7 | -5 | 2 | 7 | -5 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| **5** | 2 | 10 | -8 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 10 | -2 | 8 | 9 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **6** | 1 | 10 | -9 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 9 | -2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **7** | 9 | 10 | -1 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| **8** | 7 | 9 | -2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 9 | -3 | 6 | 9 | -3 | 0 | 9 | -9 |
| **9** | 2 | 10 | -8 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 | -4 | 3 | 7 | -4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| **10** | 2 | 10 | -8 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 8 | -5 | 3 | 8 | -5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **11** | 0 | 10 | -10 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 10 | -8 | 2 | 10 | -8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| **12** | 2 | 10 | -8 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 8 | -5 | 3 | 8 | -5 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| **13** | 3 | 7 | -4 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 7 | -4 | 3 | 7 | -4 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| **14** | 4 | 8 | -4 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 8 | -5 | 3 | 8 | -5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **15** | 8 | 9 | -1 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 9 | -4 | 5 | 9 | -4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| **16** | 7 | 10 | -3 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 7 | -6 | 1 | 7 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **17** | 3 | 8 | -5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| **18** | 3 | 9 | -6 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 7 | -4 | 3 | 7 | -4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| **19** | 6 | 9 | -3 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 7 | -2 | 5 | 7 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| **20** | 1 | 7 | -6 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 9 | -8 | 8 | 9 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

**Initial= Initial End= Final Difference= Difference**

**Table No. 24 Medical History Document. Summary of Skin Evaluation on Face II.**

**Clinical History. Facial Skin Evaluation. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User.**

| User | Yellowish coloration | | | Stains | | | Open Pores | | | Sebaceous Hyperplasia | | | Solar Lentils | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff |
| **1** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| **2** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **3** | 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **4** | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | -1 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| **5** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **6** | 0 | 1 | -1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **7** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **8** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **9** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **11** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **12** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **13** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **14** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **15** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **16** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **17** | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **18** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **19** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| **20** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

**Initial= Initial End= Final Difference= Difference**

**Table No. 25 Medical History Document. Summary of Skin Evaluation in Face III.**

| Clinical History. Facial Skin Evaluation. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User. | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **User** | **Telangiectasias** | | | **Fibrosis** | | |
| | **Initial** | **Final** | **Difference** | **Initial** | **Final** | **Difference** |
| **1** | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **2** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **3** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **4** | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **5** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **6** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **7** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **8** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **9** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **11** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **12** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **13** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **14** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **15** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **16** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **17** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **18** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **19** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **20** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Table No. 26 Medical History Document. Summary of Skin Evaluation in Face IV.**

In the next tables, plots of the initial and final evaluations of the face features are presented; each pair of plots corresponds to the same feature. The first is a comparative bar graph and for each user on the abscissa axis, the pair of bars represents both measurements: blue (initial measurement) and yellow (final measurement). The second graph represents the magnitude of the difference between the measurements with respect to each user of the products also placed on the abscissa axis (x); in this difference inverted bars are observed, given that for its calculation, the initial measurement minus the final measurement was considered, and given the characteristics measured, there were cases in which the second was greater than the first, indicating that the use of the products over time, resulted in the increase of the measured characteristic. There are some bars in the graphs that apparently show losses for some users, however, what they reflect is that the characteristic did not change from one measurement to another, or that the characteristic was not detected on the user's skin, as in the case of "cellulite".

The following tables and graphs correspond to the evaluation of facial skin.

**Clinical History. Facial Skin Evaluation. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Graphs of Difference by Characteristic.**
























**Clinical History. Facial Skin Evaluation. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Graphs of Difference by Characteristic.**
























**Clinical History. Facial Skin Evaluation. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Graphs of Difference by Characteristic.**
























**Clinical History. Facial Skin Evaluation. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Graphs of Difference by Characteristic.**
























**Clinical History. Facial Skin Evaluation. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Graphs of Difference by Characteristic.**







**Table No. 27 Graphs of the Initial/Final Evaluations of the Difference by Characteristic in the Evaluation of Facial Skin.**

The following tables and graphs show the results of the body skin assessment.

**Clinical History. Body Skin Evaluation. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User.**

| User | Wrinkles | | | Cellulite | | | Deep Grooves | | | Hyperpigmentation | | | Density Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff |
| **1** | 9 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| **2** | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| **3** | 10 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| **4** | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| **5** | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| **6** | 7 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| **7** | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **8** | 9 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| **9** | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | -4 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| **10** | 7 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| **11** | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| **12** | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| **13** | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 2 |
| **14** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| **15** | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| **16** | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **17** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| **18** | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| **19** | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| **20** | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 3 |

**Initial= Initial End= Final Difference= Difference**

**Table No. 28 Medical History Document. Evaluation of Skin in Body I.**

| Clinical History. Body Skin Evaluation. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| User | Dehydration | | | Brightness | | | Flaccidity | | | Tone | | | Firmness | | |
| | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff |
| 1 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 5 | -4 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 3 | -2 | 1 | 3 | -2 |
| 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 9 | -4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 8 | -8 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 8 | -7 | 1 | 9 | -8 |
| 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 9 | -4 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 9 | -7 | 2 | 9 | -7 |
| 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | -6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 9 | -7 | 2 | 9 | -7 |
| 6 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 10 | -9 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 9 | -8 | 1 | 9 | -8 |
| 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 10 | -3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 | -7 | 2 | 9 | -7 |
| 8 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 10 | -7 | 9 | 10 | -1 | 2 | 9 | -7 | 2 | 9 | -7 |
| 9 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | -5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 9 | -5 | 4 | 9 | -5 |
| 10 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 10 | -8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | -6 | 4 | 10 | -6 |
| 11 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 10 | -8 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 10 | -5 | 5 | 10 | -5 |
| 12 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 9 | -8 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 8 | -6 | 2 | 8 | -6 |
| 13 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 10 | -9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | -5 | 2 | 6 | -4 |
| 14 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | -4 | 4 | 8 | -4 |
| 15 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 10 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 | -2 | 8 | 10 | -2 |
| 16 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | -5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 8 | -2 | 6 | 8 | -2 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | -5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | -3 | 3 | 6 | -3 |
| 18 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 8 | -5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | -3 | 3 | 6 | -3 |
| 19 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 9 | -7 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 8 | -6 | 2 | 8 | -6 |
| 20 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 7 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | -5 | 2 | 7 | -5 |

**Initial= Initial End= Final Difference= Difference**

**Table No. 29 Medical History Document. Evaluation of Skin on Body II.**

| Clinical History. Body Skin Evaluation. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| User | Pallor | | | Yellowish coloration | | | Stains | | | Open Pores | | | Sebaceous Hyperplasia | | |
| | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 3 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | -1 | 0 | 2 | -2 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 1 | 9 | -8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 10 | -1 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Clinical History. Body Skin Evaluation. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| User | Pallor | | | Yellowish coloration | | | Stains | | | Open Pores | | | Sebaceous Hyperplasia | | |
| | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

Initial= Initial End= Final Difference= Difference

**Table No. 30 Medical History Document. Evaluation of Skin in Body III.**

| Clinical History. Body Skin Evaluation. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| User | Solar Lentigines | | | Telangiectasias | | | Fibrosis | | |
| | Initial | Final | Difference | Initial | Final | Difference | Initial | Final | Difference |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -1 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Table No. 31 Medical History Document. Evaluation of Skin in Body IV.**

The following table shows the graphs of the initial and final evaluations of the body characteristics, as well as the difference between both determinations per characteristic considered.

**Clinical History. Body Skin Assessment. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Difference Graphs by Characteristic.**
























**Clinical History. Body Skin Assessment. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Difference Graphs by Characteristic.**
























**Clinical History. Body Skin Assessment. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Difference Graphs by Characteristic.**
























**Clinical History. Body Skin Assessment. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Difference Graphs by Characteristic.**
























**Clinical History. Body Skin Assessment. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Difference Graphs by Characteristic.**













**Table No. 32 Graphs of the Initial/Final Evaluations of the Difference by Characteristic in the Body Skin Evaluation.**

The following tables and graphs show the results of the skin assessment on hands

**Clinical History. Evaluation of Skin on Hands. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User.**

| User | Wrinkles | | | Deep Grooves | | | Hyperpigmentation | | | Density Decrease | | | Dehydration | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff |
| **1** | 10 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 9 | 1 |
| **2** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 8 | -3 | 5 | 9 | -4 |
| **3** | 10 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| **4** | 9 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| **5** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| **6** | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | -1 | 3 | 4 | -1 |
| **7** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| **8** | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| **9** | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| **10** | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| **11** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| **12** | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| **13** | 7 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| **14** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| **15** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 4 |

**Clinical History. Evaluation of Skin on Hands. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User.**

| User | Wrinkles | | | Deep Grooves | | | Hyperpigmentation | | | Density Decrease | | | Dehydration | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff |
| **16** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **17** | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 |
| **18** | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| **19** | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| **20** | 8 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 3 |

**Initial= Initial End= Final Difference= Difference**

**Table No. 33 Medical History Document. Evaluation of Skin on Hands I.**

**Clinical History. Evaluation of Skin on Hands. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User.**

| User | Brightness | | | Flaccidity | | | Tone | | | Firmness | | | Pallor | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff |
| **1** | 1 | 5 | -4 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 5 | -4 | 1 | 5 | -4 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| **2** | 0 | 9 | -9 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **3** | 0 | 9 | -9 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 7 | -7 | 0 | 7 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **4** | 1 | 9 | -8 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 8 | -7 | 1 | 8 | -7 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| **5** | 2 | 9 | -7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 | -5 | 3 | 8 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **6** | 3 | 10 | -7 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 9 | -8 | 2 | 9 | -7 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **7** | 5 | 9 | -4 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 9 | -4 | 5 | 9 | -4 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| **8** | 7 | 10 | -3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 | -7 | 2 | 9 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **9** | 2 | 10 | -8 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 | -5 | 3 | 8 | -5 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| **10** | 2 | 10 | -8 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | -7 | 3 | 10 | -7 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **11** | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **12** | 2 | 10 | -8 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 8 | -6 | 2 | 8 | -6 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| **13** | 1 | 9 | -8 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 6 | -5 | 1 | 6 | -5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **14** | 7 | 9 | -2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 | -7 | 2 | 10 | -8 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **15** | 7 | 10 | -3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 10 | -3 | 7 | 10 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **16** | 8 | 10 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 10 | -2 | 8 | 10 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **17** | 2 | 8 | -6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | -4 | 3 | 7 | -4 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **18** | 1 | 8 | -7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **19** | 2 | 9 | -7 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 9 | -7 | 2 | 9 | -7 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| **20** | 1 | 7 | -6 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 8 | -7 | 1 | 8 | -7 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

**Initial= Initial End= Final Difference= Difference**

**Table No. 34 Medical History Document. Evaluation of Skin on Hands II.**

| Clinical History. Evaluation of Skin on Hands. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| User | Yellowish coloration | | | Stains | | | Open Pores | | | Sebaceous Hyperplasia | | | Solar Lentigines | | |
| | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff | In | End | Diff |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 | -3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Initial= Initial End= Final Difference= Difference

**Table No. 35 Medical History Document. Evaluation of Skin on Hands III.**

| Clinical History. Evaluation of Skin on Hands. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User. | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| User | Telangiectasias | | | Fibrosis | | |
| | Initial | Final | Difference | Initial | Final | Difference |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | -1 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Clinical History. Evaluation of Skin on Hands. Initial and Final Evaluation and Difference by Characteristic grouped by User. | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **User** | **Telangiectasias** | | | **Fibrosis** | | |
| | **Initial** | **Final** | **Difference** | **Initial** | **Final** | **Difference** |
| **15** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **16** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **17** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **18** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **19** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **20** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Table No. 36 Medical History Document. Evaluation of Skin on Hands IV.**

The graphs of the initial and final evaluations of the hand characteristics, as well as the difference between the two determinations per characteristic considered, are presented below.

**Clinical History. Hand Skin Assessment. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Difference Graphs by Characteristic.**












**Clinical History. Hand Skin Assessment. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Difference Graphs by Characteristic.**
























**Clinical History. Hand Skin Assessment. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Difference Graphs by Characteristic.**
























**Clinical History. Hand Skin Assessment. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Difference Graphs by Characteristic.**
























**Clinical History. Hand Skin Assessment. Graphs of Initial / Final Evaluations and Difference Graphs by Characteristic.**




**Table No. 37 Graphs of the Initial/Final Evaluations of the Difference by Characteristic in the Evaluation of Skin on Hands.**

In order to expose the differences that are presented jointly in the measured characteristics, the frequencies (number of times a rating appears) of the differences presented in tables 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, and 35 are calculated. The higher values correspond to higher frequencies for the individual ratings of the users, so it will be possible to appreciate the trend in the evaluation of the skin characteristics of the face, body and hands. From the frequencies, the response percentages are calculated, relating them to the total of the 20 ratings.

The following table shows the frequencies of facial skin assessment.

**Frequency of Responses for the Facial Skin Evaluation**

| Size of the Difference | Wrinkles | | Deep Grooves | | Hyperpigmentation | | Density Decrease | | Dehydration | | Brightness | | Flaccidity | | Tone | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| **0** | 4 | 20 | 10 | 50 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 30 | 1 | 5 |
| **1** | 6 | 30 | 6 | 30 | 5 | 25 | 7 | 35 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 55 | 2 | 10 |
| **2** | 6 | 30 | 3 | 15 | 6 | 30 | 7 | 35 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| **3** | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| **4** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| **5** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| **6** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| **7** | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| **8** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| **9** | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| **10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| **-1** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-2** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **-3** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **-4** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 |
| **-5** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 |
| **-6** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **-7** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 35 |
| **-8** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **-9** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Table No. 38. Table of Frequencies for Evaluation of Skin on Face I.**

| Frequency of Responses for the Facial Skin Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Size of the Difference | Firmness | | Pallor | | Yellowish coloration | | Stains | | Open Pores | | Sebaceous Hyperplasia | | Solar Lentigines | | Telengiectasias | | Fibrosis | |
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| **0** | 0 | 0 | 6 | 30 | 11 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 16 | 80 | 18 | 90 | 19 | 95 | 19 | 95 |
| **1** | 0 | 0 | 6 | 30 | 6 | 30 | 3 | 15 | 8 | 40 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| **2** | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 20 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **3** | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 30 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **4** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **5** | 1 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **6** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **7** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **8** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **9** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-1** | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-2** | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-3** | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-4** | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-5** | 4 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-6** | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-7** | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-8** | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-9** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Table No. 39. Table of Frequencies for Facial Skin Evaluation II.**

The percentages obtained are plotted with bar graphs to observe their distribution and establish their importance in the response. A characteristic that clusters over several frequencies or tends to be primarily at a rating away from zero will have preponderant importance in the effect on a given characteristic. The following table shows the corresponding graphs for the facial skin evaluation.

**Frequency of Responses for the Facial Skin Evaluation**






**Frequency of Responses for the Facial Skin Evaluation**




**Table No. 40. Frequency Graphs for Facial Skin Evaluation.**

The tables and corresponding graphs for the evaluation of skin on the body are shown below.

**Medical History Body Skin Evaluation**

| Size of the Difference | Wrinkles | | Cellulite | | Deep Grooves | | Hyperpigmentation | | Density Decrease | | Dehydration | | Brightness | | Flaccidity | | Tone | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| **0** | 1 | 5 | 20 | 100 | 9 | 45 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **1** | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 20 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| **2** | 8 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 5 | 25 | 7 | 35 | 4 | 20 | 4 | 20 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| **3** | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 4 | 20 | 5 | 25 | 3 | 15 | 6 | 30 | 1 | 5 |
| **4** | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 30 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

| Medical History Body Skin Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Size of the Difference | Wrinkles | | Cellulite | | Deep Grooves | | Hyperpigmentation | | Density Decrease | | Dehydration | | Brightness | | Flaccidity | | Tone | |
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| **5** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| **6** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| **7** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **8** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **9** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-1** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| **-2** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| **-3** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| **-4** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **-5** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| **-6** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| **-7** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | |
| **-8** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **-9** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Table No. 41. Table of Frequencies for Body Skin Assessment I.**

| Medical History Body Skin Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Size of the Difference | Firmness | | Pallor | | Yellowish coloration | | Stains | | Open Pores | | Sebaceous Hyperplasia | | Solar Lentigines | | Telangiectasias | | Fibrosis | |
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| **0** | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 14 | 70 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 45 | 16 | 80 | 18 | 90 | 18 | 90 | 19 | 95 |

| Medical History Body Skin Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Size of the Difference | Firmness | | Pallor | | Yellowish coloration | | Stains | | Open Pores | | Sebaceous Hyperplasia | | Solar Lentigines | | Telangiectasias | | Fibrosis | |
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| **1** | 0 | 0 | 6 | 30 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **2** | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **3** | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 25 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| **4** | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **5** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **6** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **7** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **8** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **9** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-1** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | | | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| **-2** | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-3** | 2 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-4** | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-5** | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-6** | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-7** | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-8** | 2 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-9** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Table No. 42. Table of Frequencies for Body Skin Assessment II.**

**Frequencies of Responses for the Body Skin Evaluation**






**Frequencies of Responses for the Body Skin Evaluation**




**Table No. 43. Frequency Graphs for Body Skin Evaluation.**

In the following tables, the information and corresponding graphs are presented for the evaluation of skin on hands.

**Clinical History Hand Skin Evaluation**

| Size of the Difference | Wrinkles | | Deep Grooves | | Hyperpigmentation | | Density Decrease | | Dehydration | | Brightness | | Flaccidity | | Tone | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| **0** | 4 | 20 | 10 | 50 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 30 | 1 | 5 |
| **1** | 6 | 30 | 6 | 30 | 5 | 25 | 7 | 35 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 55 | 2 | 10 |
| **2** | 6 | 30 | 3 | 15 | 6 | 30 | 7 | 35 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 |

| Clinical History Hand Skin Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Size of the Difference | Wrinkles | | Deep Grooves | | Hyperpigmentation | | Density Decrease | | Dehydration | | Brightness | | Flaccidity | | Tone | |
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| **3** | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| **4** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| **5** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| **6** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| **7** | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| **8** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| **9** | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| **10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| **-1** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-2** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **-3** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **-4** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 |
| **-5** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 |
| **-6** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **-7** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 35 |
| **-8** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **-9** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Table No. 44. Table of Frequencies for Evaluation of Skin on Hands I.**

| Clinical History Hand Skin Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Size of the Difference | Firmness | | Pallor | | Yellowish coloration | | Stains | | Open Pores | | Sebaceous Hyperplasia | | Solar Lentigines | | Telangiectasias | | Fibrosis | |
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| **0** | 1 | 5 | 7 | 35 | 16 | 80 | 3 | 15 | 17 | 85 | 19 | 95 | 19 | 95 | 19 | 95 | 19 | 95 |

| Clinical History Hand Skin Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Size of the Difference | Firmness | | Pallor | | Yellowish coloration | | Stains | | Open Pores | | Sebaceous Hyperplasia | | Solar Lentigines | | Telangiectasias | | Fibrosis | |
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| **1** | 2 | 10 | 8 | 40 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **2** | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 25 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **3** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **4** | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **5** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **6** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **7** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| **8** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **9** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-1** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **-2** | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-3** | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-4** | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-5** | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-6** | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-7** | 7 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-8** | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-9** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **-10** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Table No. 45. Frequency Table for Hand Skin Assessment II.**

**Frequency of Responses for the Skin on Hands Assessment**






**Frequency of Responses for the Skin on Hands Assessment**




**Table No. 46. Frequency Graphs for Hand Skin Assessment.**

**Analysis of Results.**

**CARA**

The evaluation of the skin on the **face** weighs the effect of the product **Aetheion ZC30 Anti-Aging Cream**, since this is the site where it was applied. Table 1 shows that users changed from 0% to 70% Normal skin type.
Table 26 shows the effects and their importance for the characteristics evaluated.

In the case of the characteristic "Wrinkles", it is observed that the difference between measurement 1 is of low response, since the measurement bars are not so marked in the initial condition with respect to the final one, in most cases as shown in the difference graph; only user 4 registered an increase of 1 unit in the final measurement.

For "Deep Furrows", the final measurement is lower than the initial one, although to a low degree like the previous characteristic, the largest difference found was 5 units for users 14 and 16.

For the characteristic "Hyperpigmentation" the second measurement is lower than the first, again with low magnitudes, the largest differences found were 4 for users 3, 12, 13 and 15.

In the "Density Decrease" the results are variable, not only because of the size of the difference, but also because of the direction of the difference; three values were found (users 8, 16 and 19), where the second measurement was superior to the first.

With respect to "Dehydration" it is possible to appreciate that the differences between the first measurement with respect to the second are very marked for the majority of users; additionally, this difference is high, since it is equal to or greater than 5 in 8 of the users, which reflects a preponderant effect of the product on this characteristic.

As far as "Brightness" is concerned, it appeared that the second measurement is always higher than the first one, and also to a high degree. The graph of the difference has negative bars, since the characteristic increased. Values close to 10 were recorded, indicating that the product has a significant effect on this characteristic.

For the "Flaccidity" characteristic, variable results are shown, additionally they were in opposite directions, since for some the second measurement was lower than the first, while in others, the effect was the opposite, in addition the maximum difference found was 7 units (users 12 and 13).

In the "Tone" characteristic, an important effect of the product is observed, the preponderance of the yellow bars with respect to the blue ones indicates that for the second measurement the qualification increased considerably with respect to the first, so that the skin tone increases due to the use of the product, and although there were two users with a decrease (users 7 and 17), this difference is minor with respect to any of the differences in the other users.

The preponderance of yellow bars is evident in the graph, which indicates a tendency to increase the rating in this characteristic due to the use of the product.

With regard to "Pallor", the effects are irregular; there is no clear tendency to decrease or increase due to the use of the product.

With respect to the "Yellowish Coloration", something similar to the previous characteristic occurs; in several of the subjects, no yellowish coloration was detected for the first measurement and continued for the second.

For the "Spots" feature, something similar to the wrinkles feature is revealed, although there is a decrease in the feature in the second measurement, this is not uniform for the users.

Regarding "Open Pores", the effect is equally irregular for all users.

With regard to "Sebaceous Hyperplasia", "Solar Lentigines", "Telangiectasias" and "Fibrosis", it is observed that the characteristics are highly irregular, since some users did not even have this feature.

**BODY**

The **Body** skin evaluation considers the effect of the product **2+ Topical Nutritional Lotion**, as this is the part of the body where it was applied. Table 1 shows that there was a change from 5% to 75% of users with Normal skin type.

Table 31 shows the product effects and scope for the characteristics evaluated.
With regard to the "Wrinkles" characteristic, it can be seen that the effect, although it tends to decrease, the effect is always less than or equal to 4 units on the rating scale.

None of the participants presented "Cellulite".

For "Deep Grooves", the effect was presented in some of the users in an irregular way and in an effect size less than or equal to 3.

In relation to "Hyperpigmentation", although the tendency was to decrease in the bulk of the users in the sample, the effect size was less than or equal to 6 units.

The "Density Decrease" shows a behavior similar to the previous one, although the tendency is always to decrease, the effect size is less than 6 units in most of the users in the sample.

In the "Dehydration" characteristic, a behavior similar to the previous one is observed, but a decrease of 7 units is achieved for user 6.

The effect of "Brightness" is shown to be preponderant by the size of the yellow bars (second measurement) over those of the first evaluation; additionally, several of these differences are greater than 7 units, which means that this characteristic is modified to a great extent by the use of the product.

For the "Flaccidity" characteristic, the effect size is irregular, as shown by the sizes of the contrast bars; additionally, one case was recorded (subject 8), which had an increase in the final measurement.

With regard to "Tone", the preponderance of yellow bars with respect to blue bars can again be seen for the majority of users, and this difference is greater than 6 units for half of the users in the sample, which indicates the importance of the effect of the product on this characteristic.

The "Firmness" characteristic shows a similar behavior to the previous one; yellow bars predominate over blue bars, and in this case differences greater than or equal to 8 are recorded.

The response of "Pallor" is irregular, as suggested by the corresponding graphs, where the behavior of the response is variable and in some cases was not detected. The same can be argued with regard to "Yellowness".

For the "Stains" characteristic, the preponderance of the blue bars with respect to the yellow ones can be seen for the majority of the users; additionally, this difference is equal to or greater than 7 units for half of the users in the sample, which indicates the importance of the effect of the product on this characteristic.

The characteristics of "Open Pores", "Sebaceous Hyperplasia, Solar Lentigines, Telangiectasias and Fibrosis" show a similar behavior among themselves. The differences between measurements are irregular and in most cases this characteristic was not detected from the beginning of the treatment, so the effect of the product is not important for these characteristics.

**HANDS**

The evaluation of the skin on the **Hands** considers the effect of the **2+ Topical Nutritional Lotion** product, as these are the body parts where it was applied. Table No. 1 indicates a change from 5% to 75% of users with Normal skin type.

Table No. 36 shows the product effects and the scope for the evaluated characteristics.
With regard to the "Wrinkles" characteristic, an irregular effect was observed in the incidence of the product on this quality; additionally, there were cases of users who did not present it from the initial evaluation, and the same can be said for the "Deep Furrows" characteristic.

For "Hyperpigmentation" an irregular effect on the response was observed, linked to the fact that the differences are small (less than or equal to five units).

In relation to "Density Decrease" there is also an irregular response in the difference between the initial and final measurements; user 2 and the user also registered a different behavior in relation to the rest of the users.

For the "Dehydration" characteristic, there is a predominance of blue bars (first measurement) with respect to the second (yellow bars), and the difference is greater than 5 units in several of the elements of the sample.

With regard to "Brightness", the superiority of the yellow bars with respect to the blue bars is observed, which indicates that the scores were higher in the second measurement, as well as the difference between responses that is greater than or equal to 7 units in more than 50% of the sample.

For the "Flaccidity" characteristic, it is found that the response is irregular and of low range in the majority of the sample (differences of less than 3 units predominate in more than 50% of the sample).

The "Tone" and "Firmness" characteristics present an effect similar to "Brightness", the second measurement is considerably higher in rating than the first by more than six units in half of the sample.

The characteristics of "Pallor" and "Yellowness" are similar to each other, the effect size is irregular and in several of the users these qualities were not recorded in the first measurement.

Regarding "Spots", the effect size is irregular and the difference in responses is equal to or less than 4 units for most of the items in the sample.

For the characteristics of "Open Pores", "Sebaceous Hyperplasia", "Solar Lentigines", "Telangiectasias" and "Fibrosis", the response is irregular and most of the users did not present the characteristics at the beginning of the measurements, so the effect of the product is not important in these characteristics.

In order to appreciate the effect of the products on the set of characteristics, the frequencies of the differences were grouped by characteristic.

Table 39 shows this analysis for Aetheion ZC30 Anti-Aging Cream in its effect on facial skin. It can be seen that "Open Pores", "Sebaceous Hyperplasia", "Solar Lentigines" "Telangiectasias" "Fibrosis", "Yellowness", "Pallor", "Flaccidity", "Deep Furrows", "Wrinkles" and "Density Decrease", do not present a significant effect on these characteristics; the response bars are concentrated around 0, which is indicative that there was no change in the measurements and/or that this change is of low impact.
On the contrary, characteristics such as "Dehydration", "Brightness", "Tone", "Firmness" and "Blemishes", extend on the abscissa axis and move away from zero, which is evidence of the effect on the particularity and the further away from zero, the greater the effect of the product.

Table 42 shows the analysis for 2+ Topical Nutritional Lotion in its effect on body skin. It is observed that characteristics such as "Open Pores", "Sebaceous Hyperplasia", "Solar Lentigines" "Telangiectasias" "Fibrosis", "Yellowish Coloration", "Spots", "Pallor", "Deep Furrows", "Wrinkles", "Cellulite", "Dehydration" and "Density Decrease", do not present a significant effect from the use of the product; the response bars are concentrated or tend to concentrate around 0, which is indicative that there was no change in the measurements and/or that this change is of low impact.

The opposite effect is observed in "Dehydration", "Brightness", "Tone", and "Firmness", as the frequencies extend on the abscissa axis and move away from zero, evidencing the effect of the product on these characteristics.

Table 45 shows the analysis for 2+ Topical Nutritional Lotion in its effect on hand skin. It is possible to see that the characteristics of "Open Pores", "Sebaceous Hyperplasia", "Solar Lentigines" "Telangiectasias" "Fibrosis", "Yellowing", "Spots", "Pallor", "Deep Furrows", "Wrinkles" and "Decreased Density", do not present a significant effect on these characteristics; the response bars are concentrated around 0, which is indicative that there was no change in the measurements and/or that this change is of low impact.

On the contrary, characteristics such as "Dehydration", "Brightness", "Tone", "Firmness" and "Flaccidity", extend on the abscissa axis and move away from zero, which the importance of the effect of the product on the characteristic and the further the frequency bars move away from zero, the greater the effect of the product.

From the above analysis it can be seen that the characteristics of "Dehydration", "Brightness", "Tone" and "Firmness" are common to the three evaluations carried out: Face, Body and Hands, so it is concluded that the main effect of the products Aetheion ZC30 Anti-age Cream and 2+ Topical Nutritional Lotion n is on these characteristics.

In order to corroborate the above, a comparison of the responses obtained between the initial and final measurements of the characteristics of "Dehydration", "Brightness", "Tone" and "Firmness" was made using the $\chi 2$ statistic; the statistical hypothesis is the same as described in the section on Validation of the Evaluation Instruments; the comparisons were carried out with a significance level of 0.05%. The results are shown below.

| **Statistical Contrast of the Effect of Aetheion Quintessential Beautification ZC30 Redox Technology Anti-Ageing Cream on Facial Skin** | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Determination** | **DEHYDRATION** | | **LUMINOSITY** | | **TONE** | | **FIRMNESS** | |
| **Initial** | 96 | | 76 | | 73 | | 76 | |
| **Final** | 47 | | 181 | | 142 | | 133 | |
| Calculation of Expected Values | | | | | | | | |
| **Determination** | Value Found | Expected Value | **Value Found** | **Expected Value** | **Value Found** | **Expected Value** | **Value Found** | **Expected Value** |
| **Initial** | 96 | 55.71 | 76 | 100.12 | 73 | 83.76 | 76 | 81.42 |
| **Final** | 47 | 87.29 | 181 | 156.88 | 142 | 131.24 | 133 | 127.58 |
| Calculation of the Test Statistic | | | | | | | | |
| ***(Oi-Ei)2 / Ei*** | 29.14 | | 5.81 | | 1.38 | | 0.36 | |
| | 18.60 | | 3.71 | | 0.88 | | 0.23 | |

| | |
|---|---|
| **gl** | 3 |
| **χ2calculated** | 60.11 |
| **χ2critical** | 0.3518 |
| **Conclusion** | **Given that χ2calculated > χ2critical, there is independence in the response with respect to the days of evaluation, which leads to the conclusion that there is a highly significant difference between the measurements.** |

**Table No. 47. Comparison of characteristics in the evaluation of facial skin.**

| **Statistical Contrast of the Effect of 2+ Topical Nutritional Lotion on the Body Skin** | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Determination** | **DEHYDRATION** | | **LUMINOSITY** | | **TONE** | | **FIRMNESS** | |
| **Initial** | 115 | | 58 | | 61 | | 61 | |
| **Final** | 44 | | 174 | | 155 | | 155 | |
| **Calculation of Expected Values** | | | | | | | | |
| **Determination** | **Value Found** | **Expected Value** | **Value Found** | **Expected Value** | **Value Found** | **Expected Value** | **Value Found** | **Expected Value** |
| **Initial** | 115 | 56.99 | 58 | 83.16 | 61 | 77.42 | 61 | 77.42 |
| **Final** | 44 | 102.01 | 174 | 148.84 | 155 | 138.58 | 155 | 138.58 |
| **Calculation of the Test Statistic** | | | | | | | | |
| ***(Oi-Ei)2 / Ei*** | 59.04 | | 7.61 | | 3.48 | | 3.48 | |
| | 32.99 | | 4.25 | | 1.95 | | 1.95 | |
| **gl** | **3** | | | | | | | |
| **χ2calculated** | **114.75** | | | | | | | |
| **χ2critical** | **0.3518** | | | | | | | |
| **Conclusion** | **Given that χ2calculated > χ2critical, there is independence in the response with respect to the days of evaluation, which leads to the conclusion that there is a highly significant difference between the measurements.** | | | | | | | |

**Table No. 48. Comparison of characteristics in the evaluation of skin on the body.**

| **Statistical Contrast of the Effect of 2+ Topical Nutritional Lotion on the Skin of the Hands** | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Determination** | **DEHYDRATION** | | **LUMINOSITY** | | **TONE** | | **FIRMNESS** | |
| **Initial** | 104 | | 56 | | 57 | | 58 | |
| **Final** | 44 | | 170 | | 150 | | 151 | |
| Calculation of Expected Values | | | | | | | | |
| **Determination** | Value Found | Expected Value | Value Found | Expected Value | Value Found | Expected Value | Value Found | Expected Value |
| **Initial** | 104 | 51.52 | 56 | 78.67 | 57 | 72.06 | 58 | 72.75 |
| **Final** | 44 | 96.48 | 170 | 147.33 | 150 | 134.94 | 151 | 136.25 |
| Calculation of the Test Statistic | | | | | | | | |
| ***(Oi-Ei)2 / Ei*** | 53.46 | | 6.53 | | 3.15 | | 2.99 | |
| | 25.55 | | 3.49 | | 1.68 | | 1.60 | |
| **gl** | 3 | | | | | | | |
| **χ2calculated** | 101.45 | | | | | | | |
| **χ2critical** | 0.3518 | | | | | | | |
| **Conclusion** | **Given that χ2calculated > χ2critical, there is independence in the response with respect to the days of evaluation, which leads to the conclusion that there is a highly significant difference between the measurements.** | | | | | | | |

**Table No. 49. Comparison of characteristics in the evaluation of skin on hands.**

The following table shows the effect on the considered characteristics of Dehydration, Luminosity, Tone and Firmness, expressed as a point score on the measurement scale used.

**Effect of Aetheion ZC30 Anti-Aging Cream and 2+ Topical Nutritional Lotion on Skin Assessment Highlights.**






**Effect of Aetheion ZC30 Anti-Aging Cream and 2+ Topical Nutritional Lotion on Skin Assessment Highlights.**




**Table No. 50. Skin Evaluation Scores.**

**Analysis of the Quality Survey.**

At the end of the study, a quality survey related to the characteristics of the products was applied, with a closed scale, different questions were rated. The closed scale was as follows:

| Scale | Marker |
|---|---|
| **1** | Insufficient |
| **2** | Not Enough |
| **3** | Enough |
| **4** | Good |
| **5** | Very Good |

**Table No. 51 Quality Survey Rating Scale.**

The results are presented considering the median of the responses.

| QUESTION | MARKER |
|---|---|
| **The information on the indications for use was** | Good |
| **The amount measured with the spoon was** | Good |
| **The amount of the anti-ageing cream for the 14 days was** | Good |

| QUESTION | MARKER |
|---|---|
| **The duration of the one-day effect at the application site was** | Good |
| **The information on the indications for use was** | Very Good |
| **The use of the applicator resulted in** | Very Good |
| **The amount of solution dispensed by the atomizer was** | Good |
| **The amount of solution contained in the bottle for the 14 days was as follows** | Enough |
| **The duration of the one-day effect at the site of application of the nutritional lotion was** | Good |
| **The color of the anti-ageing cream seemed to him to be** | Good |
| **The consistency of the anti-aging cream was** | Good |
| **The smell of the anti-ageing cream was** | Good |
| **The texture of the anti-aging cream was** | Good |
| **The absorption of the anti-aging cream was** | Enough |
| **The duration of the odor on the pixel was** | Enough |
| **Smooth skin after using the anti-ageing cream** | Enough |
| **Feeling of dry skin after using anti-ageing cream** | Good |
| **He found the color of the nutritional lotion to be** | Good |
| **The consistency of the nutritional lotion was** | Very Good |
| **The smell of the nutritional lotion was** | Enough |
| **The texture of the nutritional lotion was** | Good |
| **Absorption of nutritional lotion** | Good |
| **The duration of the odor on the skin was** | Enough |
| **Soft feeling on the skin after using the nutritional lotion** | Good |
| **Feeling of dry skin after using the nutritional lotion** | Enough |

**Table No. 52 Results of the Quality Survey.**

The results of the Quality Survey present results that tend to the qualification of *Good* (4) for the evaluated attributes, the questions: *The information of the indications for use was* and *The use of the applicator resulted,* were the only ones that obtained the maximum rating (5), the questions of: The amount of solution contained in the bottle for the 14 days was, *The absorption of the anti-age cream was*, *The duration of the odor on the skin was, Feeling of softness on the skin after using the anti-age cream*, *The odor of the nutritional lotion was*, *Feeling of dry skin after using the nutritional lotion* and *The duration of the odor on the skin was*, were rated as *Sufficient*; none of the measured attributes were rated as 1 or 2.

## CONCLUSIONS

In the evaluation of the products Aetheion ZC30 Redox Technology Anti-Aging Cream and 2+ Topical Nutritional Lotion, the following was found:

1. The evaluation instruments used were validated.

2. In the Facial Skin Evaluation showing the effect of Aetheion ZC30 Anti-Aging Cream, it was found that there was a change from 0% to 70% of users to Normal skin type.
3. In the Body Skin Evaluation showing the effect of the 2+ Topical Nutritional Lotion product, it was found that there was a change from 5% to 75% of users to Normal skin type.
4. In the Hand Skin Evaluation showing the effect of y 2+ Topical Nutritional Lotion, it was found that there was a change from 5% to 75% of users to Normal skin type.
5. A significant beneficial effect was found for the use of products on the characteristics of Dehydration, Luminosity, Tone and Firmness for the three types of skin assessed (face, body, and hands).
6. For facial skin, on a closed scale rating (1 to 5), the Dehydration characteristic changes from 5 to 2, Luminosity from 4 to 9, Tone from 4 to 7 and Firmness from 4 to 7.
7. For body skin, on a closed scale rating (1 to 5), the Dehydration characteristic changes from 6 to 2, Brightness from 3 to 9, Tone from 3 to 8 and Firmness from 3 to 8.
8. For hand skin, on a closed scale rating (1 to 5), the Dehydration characteristic changes from 5 to 2, Brightness from 3 to 9, Tone from 3 to 8 and Firmness from 3 to 8.
9. The Quality Questionnaire favorably rates the attributes considered by the product characteristics, the predominant rating in the questionnaire is: 4= Good.
10. The following were rated as Very Good: The information on the indications for use, The use of the applicator and The consistency of the nutritional lotion.
11. The following were rated as sufficient: The amount of solution contained in the bottle for the 14 days, The absorption of the anti-aging cream, The duration of the odor on the skin, The odor of the nutritional lotion and The duration of the odor of the lotion on the skin.